

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

FY17 On-Farm Energy

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: FY17 On-Farm Energy		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a "non-impaired water body"?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	
3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. c. Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. c. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Soil Health:– Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. b. Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Energy Conservation– Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the “EQIP Plan of Operations” result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering “Yes” to the following question. Answering “Yes” to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the State-level questions.	
1. a Is the program application for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP) for a TSP prepared Agricultural Energy Management Plan (128)? If answer is “Yes,” do not answer any other State-level questions. If answer is “No,” proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
With regard to applications containing energy efficiency practices (answer one of the following):	
2. a The application contains two or more core practices.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. b The application contains at least one core practice and additional supporting practices.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. c The application contains only supporting practices.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by (select all that apply):	
3. a Implementing irrigation practices that reduce energy and reduce aquifer overdraft?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. b Implementing practices that recycle or reuse water?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Air Quality - Does the application contain projects that improve air quality by (answer one of the following):	
4. a Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by 100,000 pounds or more?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. b Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by at least 75,000 pounds but less than 100,000 pounds?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. c Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by at least 50,000 pounds but less than 75,000 pounds?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. d Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by at least 25,000 pounds but less than 50,000 pounds?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. e Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by at least 10,000 pounds but less than 25,000 pounds?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. f Implementing energy practices that have been evaluated to reduce on-farm generated carbon dioxide (CO2) by less than 10,000 pounds?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Use the “Energy Cost Efficiency Worksheet” to calculate the estimated energy cost efficiency value for the conservation practices in the EQIP Plan/Schedule of Operations. Answer one of the following questions:	
5. a Is the estimated energy cost efficiency 50 percent or more?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. b Is the estimated energy cost efficiency between 30 and 50 percent?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

5. c Is the estimated energy cost efficiency less than 30 percent?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
--	---

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering “Yes” to the following question. Answering “Yes” to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the local priority category.	
1. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is “Yes”, do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is “No”, proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Award points based on the resource concerns being addressed in this application, relative to Local Work Group priority resource concerns for your county.	
1. Sheet, Rill & Wind: Bartholomew,Benton,Blackford,Boone,Cass,Crawford,Dearborn,Franklin,Gibson,Hamilton,Hendricks,Jackson,Jefferson,Jennings,Marshall,Montgomery,Pike,Pulaski,Putnam,Ripley,Shelby,Spencer,St Joseph,Switzerland,Union,Vanderburgh,White Concentrated Flow: Dubois,Fountain,Grant,Kosciusko,Miami,Posey,Warrick,Washington Ex Bank Erosion: Floyd OM Depletion: Fulton,Green,Hancock,Harrison,Henry,Knox,LaGrange ,Madison Compaction: Delaware,Jay,Tipton Excess Nutrients in S/G Waters: Adams,Allen,Carroll,Clinton,Decatur,DeKalb,Elkhart ,Fayette,Howard,Huntington,Jasper,Johnson,Lake,LaPorte,Marion,Newton,Noble,Orange,Perry,Porter,Randolph,Starke,Tippecanoe,Wayne,Wells,Whitley Excessive Sediment in Surface Waters: Clay, Daviess, Martin, Morgan, Owen, Parke, Scott, Steuben, Vermillion Ex Plant Pest Pressure: Rush Undesirable Plant Prod & Health: Brown,Lawrence,Monroe,Sullivan,Vigo Habitat Degradation: Clark Inad Livestock Water: Ohio Priority W/s #1: Wabash-Beargrass Creek	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Sheet, Rill & Wind: Clay,Clinton,Decatur,Floyd,Fountain,Harrison,Johnston,Knox,Miami,Ohio,Starke,Tippecanoe,Vermillion Conc Flow: Carroll,Franklin,Hancock,Jackson,Pike,Vanderburgh Ex Bank Erosion: Owen,Scott,Steuben OM Depletion: Bartholomew,Blackford,Boone,Cass,Daviess,Elkhart,Huntington,Jasper,Jay,LaPorte,Martin,Noble,Porter, Pulaski,Putnam,Rush,St Joseph,Wabash Compaction: Grant,Henry,LaGrange,Marshall,Switzerland,Union Ex Nutrients...: Delaware,Fulton,Gibson,Green,Hendricks,Jefferson, Montgomery,Parke,Posey,Ripley,Tipton,Warrick,Washington Pest Transported to S/G Waters: Madison Ex Pathogens & Chemicals...: Randolph Ex Sediment...: Benton,Clark,DeKalb,Dubois,Fayette,Hamilton,Howard ,Jennings,Lake,Marion,Newton,Perry,Shelby,Spencer ,Wayne,White,Whitley Emissions of GHGs: Kosciusko,Sullivan,Vigo Undes Plant Prod & Health: Adams,Allen,Crawford,Dearborn,Wells Ex Plant Pest Press: Morgan Inad Structure...: Brown Inad Feed & Forage: Orange Inad Livestock Water: Lawrence,Monroe	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Sheet, Rill & Wind: Carroll,Clark,Howard,Huntington,LaPorte,Parke,Scott,Vigo Concentrated Flow: Crawford,Gibson,Harrison,Henry,Lake,Marion Excessive Bank Erosion: Franklin,Miami OM Depletion: Decatur,DeKalb,Delaware,Fountain,Hendricks,Marshall, Montgomery,Newton,Ohio,Starke,Tippecanoe,Vanderburgh,Wayne,Whitley Compaction: Allen,Blackford,Boone,Cass,Daviess,Jackson,Putnam, Ripley,Rush Excess Nutrients...: Bartholomew,Benton,Clay,Dubois,Hamilton,Hancock,Jay,Jennings,LaGrange,Morgan,Pike,Pulaski,Shelby,Spencer,Steuben,Sullivan,Vermillion,Wabash,White Excessive Pathogens...: Adams,Fulton,Wells Excessive Sediment...: Clinton,Dearborn,Elkhart,Jefferson,Johnson,Kosciusko,Madison,Noble,Posey,Tipton,Warrick,Washington Undes Plant Prod & Health: Fayette,Knox,Martin,Owen Ex Plant Pest Pressure: Floyd,Green,Lawrence,Perry,Randolph Inad Structure & Composition: Porter Emissions of GHGs: Brown,Grant,Monroe Habitat Degradation: Jasper,St Joseph Inadequate Livestock Water: Orange,Switzerland,Union	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. Sheet, Rill & Wind: Greene,Jasper,Newton,Sullivan Conc Flow: Decatur,Fayette,Randolph Ex Bank Erosion: Jackson,Jennings,Putnam,Rush,Wayne OM Depl: Carroll,Clay,Clinton,Crawford,Franklin,Grant,Hamilton,Posey,Ripley,Shelby,Spencer,Steuben,Vermillion,Warrick,White Compaction: Adams,Benton,Elkhart,Fulton,Hancock,Huntington,Lak	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

<p>e, Madison, Parke, Starke, Union, Vanderburgh, Wells Ex Nutrients....:</p> <p>Boone, Cass, Henry, Knox, Kosciusko, Marshall, Monroe, Owen, St Joseph, Vigo Pest Transported....:</p> <p>DeKalb, LaGrange, Montgomery, Noble, Whitley Petroleum, Heavy Metals....: Miami Ex Sediment....:</p> <p>Allen, Blackford, Floyd, Fountain, Gibson, Hendricks, La Porte, Lawrence, Pike, Pulaski, Switzerland Ponding, Flooding....: Tipton Unders Plant Prod....: Delaware, Morgan, Perry, Wabash Ex Plant Pest Press:</p> <p>Clark, Dubois, Harrison, Howard, Jefferson, Ohio, Orange, Tippecanoe Inad Struct & Comp: Martin Emissions of GHGs: Jay Habitat Deg: Bartholomew, Brown, Marion, Porter, Scott Inad Feed & Forage: Dearborn, Johnson Inad LS Water: Daviess, Washington</p>	
<p>5. Sheet, Rill....: Allen, Daviess, Marion, Perry, Steuben, Washington Conc Flow:</p> <p>Clinton, Orange, Putnam, Ripley, Spencer Bank Erosion: Hamilton, Marshall, Shelby OM Dep:</p> <p>Gibson, Jennings, Lake, Owen Comp: Boone, Decatur, Kosciusko, Noble, Warrick, White Ex Nuts....:</p> <p>Clark, Floyd, Fountain, Harrison, Lawrence, Ohio, Madison, Union, Vanderburgh Ex Paths....:</p> <p>Blackford, Hancock, Jasper Pest Trans....: Cass, Rush Ex Sed....:</p> <p>Adams, Bartholomew, Carroll, Franklin, Fulton, Grant, Greene, Huntington, Jay, Knox, Montgomery, Porter, Sullivan, Vigo, Wabash, Wells Ponding, Flooding....:</p> <p>Jackson, Miami Ineff Moist Mgmt: Boone Ineff use of Irr Water: Newton GHGs:</p> <p>Hendricks, Morgan, Parke Odors: Randolph Unders Plant Prod....:</p> <p>Henry, Howard, LaGrange, Posey, Switzerland, Wayne Plant Pest Pressure:</p> <p>Benton, Jefferson, Johnson, LaPorte, Monroe, Pike, Scott, Starke, St Joseph, Tipton Inad Struct & Comp:</p> <p>Pulaski, Vermillion Habitat Deg: DeKalb, Delaware, Dubois, Tippecanoe Inad Feed & Forage:</p> <p>Crawford, Elkhart, Whitley Inad LS Water: Clay, Dearborn, Fayette, Martin</p>	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
<p>6. Sheet, Rill & Wind: Adams, Delaware, LaGrange, Monroe, Posey, Warrick, Wayne, Wells Conc Flow:</p> <p>Greene, Jasper, Knox, Martin Ex Bank Erosion: Benton, Crawford, Lake OM Depletion:</p> <p>Dearborn, Dubois, Kosciusko, Marion, Parke, Randolph Compaction:</p> <p>Carroll, Fayette, Fountain, Franklin, Harrison, Hendricks, Jefferson, Jennings, Scott, Steuben, Vermillion, Washington Ex Nutrients...: Blackford, Daviess, Rush Pesticides Transp....:</p> <p>Huntington, Shelby, Tipton, Vanderburgh Ex Pathogens....: Elkhart, Jay, Wabash Ex Sediment....:</p> <p>Cass, Decatur, Henry, Marshall, Orange, Putnam, Ripley, Tippecanoe Ponding, Flooding....:</p> <p>Hamilton, Spencer Emissions GHGs: Allen, Clay Odors: White PM: Boone, Porter Ex Plant Pest Pressure:</p> <p>Bartholomew, Brown, Clark, Clinton, Gibson, Hancock, Ohio, Pulaski, Sullivan, Vigo Unders Plant Prod & Health: DeKalb, Jackson, Johnson, Newton, Noble, Union, Whitley Habitat Deg:</p> <p>Grant, Howard, LaPorte, Miami, Pike, Starke Inad Feed & Forage:</p> <p>Floyd, Fulton, Lawrence, Madison, Morgan, St Joseph, Switzerland Inad Livestock Water:</p> <p>Montgomery, Owen, Perry</p>	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
<p>7. Sheet, Rill, Wind: Brown, Fayette, Fulton, Madison Conc Flow:</p> <p>Benton, Clark, Clay, Montgomery, Tippecanoe, Wabash, Wayne Ex Bank Er:</p> <p>Bartholomew, Carroll, Delaware, Morgan, Porter, Washington OM: Adams, Allen, Perry, Wells Comp:</p> <p>DeKalb, Howard, Johnson, Martin, Monroe, Newton, Pulaski, Shelby, Whitley Ex Nuts....:</p> <p>Franklin, Grant, Jackson, Putnam, Scott Pest Transp....: Blackford, Floyd, Fountain, Hendricks Ex Paths...:</p> <p>Lawrence, Orange, White Ex Sed....: Ohio, Union, Vanderburgh Ponding, Flooding....: Greene, Steuben Ineff use of Irr Water: LaPorte, St Joseph GHGs: Gibson Odors: Jay PM: Cass, Dearborn Ex Plant Pest....:</p> <p>Crawford, Hamilton, Knox, Lake, Owen, Switzerland, Vermillion, Warrick Unders Plant Prod....:</p> <p>Daviess, Decatur, Dubois, Elkhart, Huntington, Jennings, Kosciusko, Spencer Inad Struct&Comp:</p> <p>LaGrange, Noble, Parke Habitat Deg: Clinton, Hancock, Henry, Marshall, Ripley, Sullivan, Tipton, Vigo Inad Feed & Forage: Boone, Miami, Randolph, Pike, Posey, Starke Inad LS Water:</p> <p>Harrison, Jasper, Jefferson, Rush Farm/Ranch Practices....: Marion</p>	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
<p>8. Sheet, Rill&Wind: Noble, Wabash Conc Flow: Cass, Perry, Porter, Shelby Ex Bank Er: Spencer OM:</p> <p>Fayette, Floyd, Jackson, Jefferson, Lawrence, Morgan, Pike, Switzerland, Vigo</p> <p>Comp: Clark, Dearborn, Gibson, Greene, Orange, Randolph, Sullivan Ex Nuts....: Brown Pest Transp....:</p> <p>Benton, Clinton, Carroll, Dubois, Grant, Kosciusko, Owen, Parke, Tippecanoe, Union Ex Paths....:</p> <p>Allen, Henry, Howard, Huntington Ex Sed....: Crawford, Boone, Jasper, Rush Pond, Flood....:</p> <p>Franklin, Starke GHGs: Adams, DeKalb, Hancock, Knox, Tipton, Whitley PM: Lake Unders Plant Prod....:</p> <p>Hendricks, Jay, Madison, Marion, LaPorte, Pulaski, Scott, Steuben, Vanderburgh, Warrick Ex Plant Pest Press: Clay, Decatur, Elkhart, Fountain, Fulton, Jennings, LaGrange, Marshall, Newton, Wells Habitat Deg:</p> <p>Hamilton, Harrison, Johnson, Monroe, Putnam, Vermillion, Washington, Wayne Inad Feed&Forage:</p> <p>Blackford, Daviess, Delaware, Martin, Montgomery, Ohio Inad LS Water: Ripley, St Joseph Equip & Facilities: Posey Farm/Ranch Practices....: Miami, White Priority W/s #1: Bartholomew Driftwood-05120204100080</p>	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
<p>9. Sheet, Rill&Wind: Dubois, Lawrence Conc Flow: Hendricks, Scott Ex Bank Er: Jasper, Union, Warrick OM Depl: Clark, Sullivan, Washington Comp: Clinton, Knox, Miami, Montgomery, Porter, Vigo Ex</p>	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Nuts...:Martin Ex Sed...: Brown,Hancock,Randolph,Starke Pest Transp...: Decatur,Fayette,Howard,Newton Ex Path...: LaPorte,Madison,Pulaski,Tipton,Wayne Pond/Flood...: Dearborn,Elkhart,Lake,Tippecanoe Moist Mgmt: Kosciusko GHGs: Johnson,Marshall,Pike,Posey,Rush,St Joseph,Vermillion,Wells,White PM: Ohio,Switzerland Prod&Health: Bartholomew,Clay,Fountain,Grant,Putnam,Ripley Ina d Struct/Comp: Davies,DeKalb,Perry,Vanderburgh,Whitley Plant Pest Press: Adams,Carroll,Delaware,Franklin,Harrison,Henry,Hun tington,Noble,Orange,Starke,Steuben Habitat Deg: Allen, Benton, Blackford, Gibson, Greene, Jackson, Jefferson, Jennings, Morgan, Owen, Parke, Shelby,Spencer Inad F&F: Cass,Jay,Monroe,Wabash Inad LS Water: Crawford,Floyd,Hamilton Equip&Fac: LaGrange Farm/Ranch Pract...: Boone w/s 1: Fulton-Mill Creek Wilson Ditch	
10. Sheet,Rill&Wind:Orange,Martin,Morgan,Rush,Whitley Conc Flow:Davies,Dearborn,DeKalb,Tipton,Vigo Bank Er:Clark,Harrison,Newton,Tippecanoe Comp:Bartholo mew,Clay,Jasper,Wayne OM Depl:Benton,Johnson Ex Nuts...:Miami,Switzerland Pest Trans...:Allen,Franklin,Jay,Ohio,Perry,Starke Ex Paths...:Clinton, Delaware, Union, Vermillion Ex Sed...:Jackson,St Joseph Water Temp:Porter Pond/Flood...:Carroll,Decatur,Grant,Jen nings,Scott Irr Water:Marion,Marshall GHGs:Dubois,Floyd,Henry,Hun tington Qzone:Madison PM:Hamilton,Sullivan Prod&Health:Blackford,Gibs on,Hancock,Montgomery,Randolph Pest Press:Hendricks,Parke,Putnam,Vanderburgh,White In ad Struct&Comp:Crawford,Howard,Monroe Hab Deg:Boone,Cass,Elkhart,Fountain,Knox,Lake,Lawrence ,Noble,Posey,Pulaski,Steuben,Wabash Inad F&F:Adams,Fayette,Jefferson,LaPorte,Ripley,Shelby, Washington,Wells Inad LS Water:Brown,Greene,Pike,Warrick Equip&Fac:Spencer Farm/Ranch Pract...:Kosciusko,LaGrange,Owen Priority w/s #2:Fulton-Mill Creek Reed Olmstead Ditch	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency: Local Issues: State Issues: National Issues: Final Ranking Score:
--

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

NRCS Representative: Signature Date:	Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy: Signature Date:
---	--